#### Bell Atlantic 1300 I Street N.W. Suite 400W Washington, DC 20005

# EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

July 30, 1998





#### Ex Parte

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. - Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: <u>CC Docket Nos 96-45 & 97-160</u>

JUL 3 0 1998

Dear Ms. Salas:

On Tuesday, July 28, 1998 Mr. Ken Rust, Mr. Vin Callahan, and I representing Bell Atlantic, met with Ms. Emily Hoffner and Mr. Jeff Prisbrey of the Common Carrier Bureau. Our discussion took place in Seattle, Washington during the NARUC Summer Meeting and it concerned the filing made by Bell Atlantic on May 15 in the items captioned above. The attached material served as the basis for the presentation during this meeting.

Any questions on this filing should be directed to me at 202-336-7875 or at the address shown above.

Sincerely,

Attachments

cc: Ms. Emily Hoffner Mr. Jeff Prisbrey

130 310 miss 2000 043

#### Bell Atlantic's Modifications to the Ad Hoc Proposal

- Bell Atlantic's modifications to Ad Hoc's Proposal were filed at the Federal Communications Commission on May 15, 1998. The Bell Atlantic proposal provides a reasonable alternative to maintain high cost funding at the existing level (\$1.7B) as opposed to alternative proposals that suggest funding above \$6B. This proposal is consistent with Bell Atlantic's policy of developing a sufficient fund that is targeted to states. In addition, these modifications address significant cost differences among states and minimize the flow between the states.
- Attachment 1 provides a summary of the modified federal Universal Service Fund by state.

The following are the highlights of Bell Atlantic's proposed modifications to the Ad Hoc platform:

- Produces a fund size of approximately \$1.7B, which includes LTS, high cost and DEM.
  Ad Hoc's high cost proposal produces a fund size of approximately \$2.3B when Long Term Support (LTS) is added back into their high cost results.
- This plan uses a statewide weighted average of 50% actual cost and 50% forward-looking cost (a combined HAI 5.0a and BCPM 3.1).
  - Use of any one proxy model carries a significant risk of over-estimating or underestimating the amount of high-cost support that is needed. (Attachment 4)
  - Averaging of the proxy models and combining with actual costs results in no one proxy model weighted more than 25% and smoothes out the variances between models.
  - Calculating statewide costs further mitigates the large variances associated with smaller geographical areas.
  - In contrast, the Ad Hoc proposal now uses the latest Hatfield Model (HAI 5.0a), which tends to underestimate forward-looking costs.
- Incorporates the current threshold cost benchmark of 115% of the nationwide average cost to determine today's high cost fund to recover all costs above the benchmark. Revenues vary depending upon state pricing policies, while costs remain relatively stable. As such, the benchmark should be based on statewide average costs and not revenues.
- The plan provides for different transition plans for rural and non-rural companies.
  - Non-rural companies are defined as operating companies with greater than 100K lines at the statewide level and/or companies having 1 million or more lines at the holding company level.
  - The change in universal service funding for non-rural companies is phased in over three years. Current funding levels are not maintained indefinitely.
  - Rural companies support continues at current levels for at least three years. The FCC will evaluate rural companies in a separate proceeding.

 The Bell Atlantic modifications will keep insular, high cost areas such as Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, Puerto Rico, Micronesia, and the Virgin Islands at current funding levels. The basis for this decision is that forward-looking models either do not calculate costs for these areas or have not yet incorporated the costs associated with all of their operating companies.

Bell Atlantic's modifications to Ad Hoc's Proposal provide the following benefits:

- Keeps the fund to a sufficient and manageable size, and would not place an excessive burden on ratepayers or cause massive revenue shifts.
- Better targets high-cost states.
- Maintains federal/state partnership.
- Provides for a transition to allow policymakers and companies to adjust.
- Creates a simple plan that can be implemented by January 1999.

State	Current Support	Proposed Support	Change Over 3 Years
AK	\$62,597,604	\$62,597,604.00	. \$0
ĀL	\$39,274,860	\$25,386,868.98	(\$13.887.991)
AR	\$70.701.192	\$95,034,805,20	\$24,333.613
AZ	\$28,723.608	\$10,189,632.00	(\$18,533,976)
<del>CA</del>	\$55,285,308	\$30,822,924.00	(\$24,462,384)
<u>co</u>	\$45,893,436	\$41,073,084.00	(\$4,820.352)
<del>- 6</del>	\$1,399,680	\$1,399,680.00	\$0
DC	SO	\$0.00	\$0
DE	so	\$0.00	\$0
FL	\$24,235,140	\$16,963,092.00	(\$7,272,048)
GA	\$72,279,888	\$49,460,556.00	(\$22,819,332)
HI	\$897.516	\$897,516.00	\$0
I II	\$27,500.136	\$29,098,288.80	\$1,598,153
	\$28,936.632	\$22,774,255.92	(\$6,162,376)
	\$21,584,928	\$19,964,484.00	(\$1,620,444)
IN I	\$16,500,984	\$15,503,484.00	(\$997.500)
KS	\$57,721,656	\$42,639,098,31	(\$15,082,558)
NS I	\$25,611.804	\$43,266,057.12	\$17,654,253
T A	\$67,614,840	\$65,039,544,00	(\$2,575,296)
MA	\$417,600	\$417,600.00	\$0 \$0
<del></del>	\$588,636	\$588.636.00	<u>so</u>
MD ME	\$16,551,732	\$34,744,957.02	\$18,193,225
	\$33.670.200	\$29.644,908.00	(\$4,025,292)
MI		\$33,343,980,00	(\$4,070.676)
MN	\$37,414,656	\$28,167,648.00	(\$22,272,912)
MO I	\$50,440,560	\$101,906,173,71	\$73,740.686
MS	\$28,165,488		
MT	\$44,155,068	\$67.481,716.05	\$23,326.648 (\$17,910.624)
NC NC	\$40,577,496	\$22.666.872.00	
ND NE	\$21,197,016	\$41,029,121.16 \$44,781,344.10	\$19.832.105 \$25.074.680
NE NE	\$19,706,664		(\$868,812)
NH	\$9,046,716	\$8.177,904.00	
NJ NJ	\$3.282.276	\$1,153,296.00	(\$2,128.980) \$1,958.099
NM	\$35,243,244	\$37,201,343,40	
NV	\$8,859,732	\$7,675,524.00	(\$1,184,208) (\$13,848,360)
NY	\$37,931,772	\$24,083,412.00	
OH OK	\$14,766,612	\$14,766,612.00	\$0
	\$59,899,752	\$45,769,176.00	(\$14,130,576)
OR	\$37,091,748	\$34.728.912.00	(\$2,362,836) (\$10,272,276)
PA PR	\$25,552,656	\$15.280.380.00 \$145.852.320.00	
<del></del>	\$145,852,320		<u>\$0</u>
RI SC	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
SD SD	\$45,209,328 \$16,806,792	\$35.665.489.62 \$44.630.724.15	(\$9.543.838) \$27,823.932
TN	\$27,766.632	\$27.766,632.00	(\$22.855.704)
TX UT	\$124,215,300	\$91,359,504.00	(\$32,855,796)
<del></del>	\$8,403,012	\$8,403,012.00	(\$4.475.448)
VA	\$13,671,552	\$8,995,884.00	(\$4,675,668)
	\$11,843,472	\$27,791,154.72	\$15,947,683
WA	\$43,494,372	\$17,281,152.00	(\$26,213,220)
WI NAC	\$51,445,152	\$45,912,648.00	(\$5.532.504)
w	\$21,184,260	\$64,393,745.31	\$43,209,485
w	\$21,358.524	\$29,272,605.21	\$7,914,081
C 50 5 75	A) 700 510 551	61 710 616 611	
St. DC & PR	\$1,702,569,552	\$1,713.045,361	\$10.475.809
L			
		41.04.00	
GU I	\$1,065,924	\$1.065.924	<u>\$0</u>
MCR	\$4,910,796	\$4,910,796	<u>\$0</u>
VI	\$16,245,684	\$16.245.684	\$0
<b>T</b>	A1 30 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		
Total	\$1,724,791,956	\$1,735,267,765	\$10,475,809

A	В	C	D	E	I F	<b>6</b>	Н
	1 0	SAC Loops & Sub	reidy	Calc. New Sh	atewide USF Sub.		
State	Sum of USF Loops	Current Statewide Subsidy. Annualized (USF, DEM, LTS)	Hold Harmless for Smat Companies	50% Comb & 50% Actual AMC	New Statewide USF using 50% Comb & 50% Actual AMC	Proposed Support	Change over 3 Years
AK	377,416	\$62,597,604	\$62,597,604	\$36.50	\$62,597,604.00	\$62,597.604	\$0
ĀL	2312 101	\$39.274.860		\$36.22	\$25,386,868.98	\$25,386,869	(\$13.887.991)
AR	1.318.280	\$70.701.192		\$43.01	\$95.034,805.20	\$95.034.805	\$24,333.613
AZ	2.541.549	\$28.723.608	\$10,189,632	\$32.02	\$0.00	\$10,189,632	(\$18.533.976)
CA	20.809.546	\$55.285.308	\$30.822.924	\$24.56	\$0.00	\$30.822.924	(\$24.462.384)
CO	2.452.764	\$45,893,436		\$34.23	\$0.00	\$41,073,084	(\$4.820.352)
Cī	2.010.578	\$1,399,680	\$1,399.680	\$30.17	\$0.00	\$1,399.680	\$0
DC	901,311	\$0		\$17.43	\$0.00	\$0	\$0
DE	507.860	\$0		\$24.95	\$0.00	\$0	\$0
FL	9,897,855	\$24,235,140		\$29.14	\$0.00	\$16.963.092 \$49.460.556	(\$7.272.048) (\$22.819.332)
GA	4.513,317	\$72,279,888	\$49:460.556	\$34.35	\$897,516.00	\$897,516	(322614.332) \$0
HI IA	693.630	\$897,516 \$27,500,136	\$897,516 \$25,868,916	\$32.09 \$37.10	\$29.098.288.80	\$29,098,289	\$1,598,153
ID ID	642.252	\$27,500,136	\$16,425,936	\$37.10 \$38.94	\$22,774,255.92	\$22,774,256	(\$6.162.376)
<u>                                    </u>	7,714,111	\$20.930.032 \$21.584.928	\$19,964,484	\$26.11	\$22,774,255.92	\$19,964,484	(\$1,620.444)
IN	3,342,142	\$16,500,984	\$15,503,484	\$30.62	\$0.00	\$15,503,484	(\$997,500)
KS	1,523,369	\$57.721.656	\$39,261,888	\$38.11	\$42,639,098.31	\$42,639,098	(\$15.082.558)
KY	1,986,504	\$25,611,804	\$11,208,288	\$37.42	\$43,266,057.12	\$43,266,057	\$17,654,253
LA	2.340.006	\$67,614,840	\$65,039,544	\$35.05	\$1,053,002.70	\$65.039.544	(\$2.575.296)
MA	4,273,186	\$417,600	\$417,600	\$26.88	\$0.00	\$417.600	\$0
MD	3.344.003	\$588.636	\$588,636	\$25.98	\$0.00	\$588.636	\$0
ME	775.211	\$16,551,732	\$16.335.516	\$39.98	\$34,744,957.02	\$34,744,957	\$18,193,225
MI	6.028.449	\$33.670.200	\$29,644,908	\$28.34	\$0.00	\$29,644,908	(\$4.025.292)
MN	2.773.994	\$37.414.656	\$33.343.980	\$32.61	\$0.00	\$33,343,980	(\$4.070.676)
MO	3.192.721	\$50,440,560	\$28,167,648	\$34.95	\$0.00	\$28,167,648	(\$22,272,912)
MS	1.270.809	\$28.165.488	\$16.627.044	\$43.91	\$101,906,173.71	\$101,906,174	\$73,740,686
MT	488,467	\$44,155,068	\$42,809,556	\$50.35	\$67.481.716.05	\$67,481,716	\$23,326,648
NC NC	4,453,425	\$40.577,496	\$22,666.872	\$34.42	\$0.00	\$22,666,872	(\$17,910,624)
ND ND	393,678 958,710	\$21,197,016 \$19,706,664	\$21,197,016	\$46.58 \$40.19	\$41,029,121,16	\$41.029.121 \$44,781.344	\$19.832.105 \$25.074.680
NE NH	770.057	\$9.046,716	\$18.646.644 \$8.177.904	\$34.53	\$44,781,344.10 \$0.00	\$8,177,904	(\$868.812)
N)	5.894.627	\$3,282,276	\$1,153,296	\$23.25	\$0.00	\$1,153,296	(\$2,128,980)
NM	862,940	\$35,243,244	\$26,002,800	\$39.79	\$37,201,343.40	\$37,201,343	\$1,958,099
NV	1,122,489	\$8,859,732	\$7,675,524	\$25.88	\$0.00	\$7,675,524	(\$1,184,208)
NY	12.308.488	\$37,931,772	\$24,083,412	\$29.56	\$0.00	\$24,083,412	(\$13.848.360)
ОН	6.488,115	\$14.766.612	\$14,766,612	\$29.23	\$0.00	\$14,766,612	SD
ОК	1.869.687	\$59.899.752	\$45,769,176	\$37.69	\$45,265,122,27	\$45,769,176	(\$14,130,576)
OR	1,909,459	\$37.091,748	\$34,728,912	\$33.79	\$0.00	\$34,728,912	(\$2.362.836)
PA	7.669,723	\$25,552,656	\$15,280,380	\$25.86	\$0.00	\$15,280,380	(\$10.272.276)
PR	1,188,082	\$145.852.320	\$145,852,320	\$38.85	\$145,852,320.00	\$145.852.320	\$0
ISI	625.327	\$0	\$0	\$27.68	\$0.00	\$0	\$0
. sc	2.042.697	\$45,209,328	\$28.352.844	\$36.94	\$35.665.489.62	\$35.665.490	(\$9.543.838)
SD	395,137	\$16.806,792	\$16,806,792	\$47.55	\$44,630,724.15	\$44,630,724	\$27,823,932
IN	3.161.392	\$27,766,632	\$27,766,632	\$33.42	\$0.00	\$27.766.632	\$0
- TX	11.286,718	\$124.215.300	\$91,359,504	\$32.34	\$0.00	\$91,359,504	(\$32,855,796)
VA VA	1.022.290	\$8,403,012	\$8,403,012	\$30.62	\$0.00	\$8,403,012	\$0
VI	4.166,624 380,284	\$13,671,552 \$11,843,472	\$8,995,884 \$9,869,256	\$29.63 \$43.12	\$0.00 \$27,791,154.72	\$8,995,884	(\$4.675.668)
WA	3.333.124	\$43,494,372	\$17,281,152	\$31.40	\$0.00	\$27,791,155 \$17,281,152	\$15.947.683 (\$26.213.220)
wi	3,172,890	\$51,445,152	\$45.912.648	\$30.36	\$0.00	\$45,912,648	(\$5.532.504)
w	930,411	\$21,184,260	\$3.124.524	\$42.69	\$64,393,745.31	\$64,393,745	\$43,209,485
WY	272,633	\$21.358.524	\$16.614.036	\$46.93	\$29,272,605,21	\$29.272,605	\$7,914.081
						12.7.2.2.000	0///14/001
St, DC & PR	166,250,030	\$1,702,569,552	\$1,293,928,596	\$30.36	\$1.042.763.314	\$1,713,045,360	\$10,475.808
GU	0	\$1.065,924	\$1.065.924	n/a	\$1,065,924	\$1.065.924	\$0
MCR	18,837	\$4.910.796	\$4,910,796	n/a	\$4.910.796	\$4,910,796	\$0
VI	58.315	\$16.245.684	\$16,245,684	n/a	\$16.245.684	\$16.245.684	\$0
					1		į.
Total	166,327,182	\$1,724,791,956	\$1,316,151,000	n/a	\$1,064,985,718	\$1,735,267,764	\$10,475,808

	Increased Level of
State	Funding
MS	\$73,740,686
w	\$43,209,485
SD	\$27,823,932
NE .	\$25,074,680
AR	\$24,333,613
MT	\$23,326,648
ND	\$19,832,105
ME	\$18,193,225
KY	\$17,654,253
VT	\$15,947,683
WY	\$7,914,081
NM	×1,958,099
IA	\$1,598,153

State	No impact on Funding
AK	\$0
CT	\$0
DC	\$0
DE	\$0
HI	\$0
MA	\$0
MD	\$0
ОН	\$0
PR	\$0
RI	\$0
TN	\$0
UT	\$0

## Impact Summary By State Benchmark = \$35 (115%)

	·
	Decreased Level of
State	Funding
NH	(\$868,812)
. IN	(\$997,500)
NV	(\$1,184,208)
<u>IL</u>	(\$1,620,444)
NJ	(\$2,128,980)
OR	(\$2,362,836)
LA	(\$2,575,296)
MI	(\$4,025,292)
MN	(\$4,070,676)
VA	(\$4,675,668)
CO	(\$4,820,352)
WI	(\$5,532,504)
ID	(\$5,162,376)
FL	(\$7,272,048)
SC	(\$9,543,838)
PA	(\$10,272,276)
NY	(\$13,848,360)
AL	(\$13,887,991)
OK	(\$14,130,576)
KS	(\$15,082,558)
NC	(\$17,910,624)
AZ	(\$18,533,976)
МО	(\$22,272,912)
GA	(\$22,819,332)
CA	(\$24,462,384)
WA	(\$26,213,220)
TX	(\$32.855.796)

### **Non-Rural Holding Companies**

### 1 Million or More USAC Loops

Nationally

Holding Company Name	USAC Loops
BELL ATLANTIC	38,042,224
SOUTHWESTERN BELL	31,551,489
BELLSOUTH	22,079,006
AMERITECH	19,686,102
GTE CORPORATION	17,403,205
US WEST	15,118,481
SPRINT	7,134,587
SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE	1,990,248
ALLTEL SERVICE CORP	1,634,560
PUERTO RICO TEL CO	1,188,082

### 100k - 1 Million USAC Loops Nationally

Holding Company Name	USAC Loops
FRONTIER CORPORATION	976,115
CINCINNATI BELL	941,316
CITIZENS UTILITIES	864,563
PACIFIC TELECOM INC	514,808
TDS TELECOM	477,695
CENTURY TELEPHONE	468,815
ALIANT COMMUNICATIONS CO.	269,410
COMMONWEALTH TEL CO	239,060
ANCHORAGE TEL UTILITY	157,299
NORTH STATE TEL CO	111,774
ROSEVILLE TEL CO	103,468
ROCK HILL TELEPHONE	101,747

State	Current Statewide Subsidy, Annual (USF, DEM, LTS) \$62,597,604	BCPM 3.1 Cost Above 115% of Average	115% of Average
AK	\$39,274,860		\$126,992,274
AL AR	\$70,701,192		\$116,228,336
AZ	\$28,723,608	\$2,0,750,000	St
├ <del></del>	\$55,285,308	so	SC
<del>- 60</del>	\$45,893,436	\$0	SC
CT	\$1,399,680	so	SC
DC	\$0	\$0	SC
DE	\$0	\$0	\$0
FL	\$24,235,140	\$0	\$(
GA	\$72,279,888	SO SO	SC
HI	\$897,516	\$0	S(
A	\$27,500,136	\$214,600,159	\$111,552.492
ID.	\$28,936,632	\$49,199,630	\$59,249,906
IL	\$21,584,928	<u>so</u>	SC
IN .	\$16,500,984	\$0	SC
KS	\$57,721,656	\$75,400,422	\$112.197.939
KY	\$25,611,804	\$134,792,841	\$63,198,388
LA LA	\$67,614,840	50	
MA MA	\$417.600	\$0	\$0 \$0
MD	\$588.636	\$54,065,464	\$58,096,845
ME MI	\$16.551,732 \$33,670,200	\$54,065,464 \$0	\$56,076.645 \$0
MN	\$37,414,656	\$45.280,654	\$63,792,371
MO	\$50,440,560	\$113.621,889	\$71,267,931
MS	\$28,165,488	\$216,088,713	\$142,120,937
MT	\$44,155,068	\$95,530,200	\$176,197,337
NC NC	\$40,577,496	SO	\$72,106,943
ND	\$21,197,016	\$76,698,494	\$143,408,563
NE	\$19,706,664	\$74,939,491	\$149,462,106
NH	\$9.046.716	\$0	\$0
ŊJ	\$3.282.276	\$0	\$0
NM	\$35,243,244	\$43,262,499	\$85,345,666
NV	\$8.859.732	\$0	\$0
NY	\$37,931.772	\$0	\$0
OH	\$14,766.612	\$0[	\$0
OK_	\$59.899.752	\$151.393,528	\$119,521,033
OR .	\$37,091,748	\$0	
PA	\$25,552,656	\$0	\$0
PR	\$145.852.320		\$0
RI SC	\$0	\$0 \$63,294,482	\$0
SD SD	\$45.209.328 \$16.806.792	\$94,709,493	\$14.273.046 \$138.214.018
TN TN	\$27,766.632	\$15,420,215	\$14.579.688
TX	\$124,215,300	\$15,420,215 \$0	\$14,379,000
<u> </u>	\$8,403.012	sol	\$0
VA	\$13,671.552	so	so
٧ī	\$11,843,472	\$39,495,205	\$23,270,357
WA	\$43,494,372	\$0	\$0
WI	\$51,445,152	\$8,180,374	so
WV	\$21,184,260	\$144,567,554	\$100,460,881
WY	\$21,358,524	\$33,083,223	\$51.622.946
St. DC & PR	\$1,702,569,552	\$2,114,943,093	\$2,013,160,003

The subsidy amount for each state equals the respective proxy model's statewide cost in excess of of the model generated national average. In addition, the subsidy was calculated using each model generated national average.

### 1997 Per Capita Income

#### National Ranking

	States	1997
1		\$18,272
2		\$18,957
3		\$19,585
4		\$19,587 \$20,046
5	The Part of the Pa	\$20,271
6	Utah	\$20,432
7	Idaho	\$20,432
8	Oklahoma	\$20,556
9	OMERICAN.	\$20,657
10	Louisiana	\$20,680
11	South Carolina	\$20,755
12	Aleberna	\$20,842
13		\$21,447
14 15		\$22,078
16	Arizona	\$22,364
17		\$22,648
18	Tennessee	\$23,018
19	Aug.	\$23,102
20	North Carolina	\$23,345
21	Commercial A Commercial	\$23,401
22	Indiana	\$23,604
23	Texas	\$23,656
24	THE STORY OF THE COLUMN	\$23,803
25	Missouri	\$24,001
26	Georgia	\$24,061
27	Kanses	\$24,379
28	Oregon	<b>\$24,39</b> 3
29	Wisconsin	\$24,475
30	Ohio	\$24,661
31	Florida	\$25,255 \$25,305
32	Alaska	\$25,505 \$25,560
33	Michigan	\$25,760
34	Rhode Island	<u> سرب نسس</u>
35	Hawaii	\$26,034
36	Pennsylvania	\$26,058
37	Virginia	\$26,438
38	California	\$26,570
39	Washington	\$26,718 \$26,791
40	Nevada Minnesota	\$26,797
41	Colorado	\$27,051
42	New Hampshire	\$28,047
43	New nampsinie Illinois	\$28,202
44	Maryland	\$28,969
45 46	Delaware	\$29,022
46 47	New York	\$30,752
47	Massachusetts	\$31,524
48 49	New Jersey	\$32,654
49 50	District of Columbia	\$35,852
50 51	Connecticut	\$36,263
31	00,,,,,,,,,,	





